

Alan M. Fisch (*pro hac vice*)  
*alan.fisch@fischllp.com*  
R. William Sigler (*pro hac vice*)  
*bill.sigler@fischllp.com*  
Adam A. Allgood (SBN: 295016)  
*adam.allgood@fischllp.com*  
FISCH SIGLER LLP  
5301 Wisconsin Avenue NW  
Fourth Floor  
Washington, DC 20015  
Tel: 202.362.3500  
Fax: 202.362.3501

Ken K. Fung (SBN: 283854)  
*ken.fung@fischllp.com*  
FISCH SIGLER LLP  
400 Concar Drive  
San Mateo, CA 94402  
Tel: 650.362.8207  
Fax: 202.362.3501

Counsel for Plaintiffs  
Juniper Networks, Inc. and  
Apstra Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

JUNIPER NETWORKS INC., and  
APSTRA INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SWARM TECHNOLOGY LLC,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:20-cv-3137-JD

**NOTICE OF RELATED  
ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDING**

Juniper hereby respectfully provides notice of the filing of an administrative proceeding related to this case: *Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2021-01445, which seeks review of one of the patents-in-suit here, U.S. Patent No. 9,852,004. Juniper previously filed an IPR on U.S. Patent No. 9,146,777,<sup>1</sup> and expects to file an IPR on the third and final patent-in-suit, U.S. Patent No. 10,592,275, shortly. Juniper will update the Court when that filing occurs, and when the Patent Board issues institution decisions on the three IPRs.

Dated: August 26, 2021

By: /s/ R. William Sigler  
 Alan M. Fisch (*pro hac vice*)  
*alan.fisch@fischllp.com*  
 R. William Sigler (*pro hac vice*)  
*bill.sigler@fischllp.com*  
 Adam A. Allgood (SBN: 295016)  
*adam.allgood@fischllp.com*  
 FISCH SIGLER LLP  
 5301 Wisconsin Avenue NW  
 Fourth Floor  
 Washington, DC 20015  
 Tel: 202.362.3500  
 Fax: 202.362.3501

Ken K. Fung (SBN: 283854)  
*ken.fung@fischllp.com*  
 FISCH SIGLER LLP  
 400 Concar Drive  
 San Mateo, CA 94402  
 Tel: 650.362.8207  
 Fax: 202.362.3501

<sup>1</sup> See Dkt. No. 49, Swarm's Notice of Related Administrative Proceeding. Swarm's notice asserts that "Amazon may be estopped from raising in this Court" certain invalidity defenses depending on the outcome of Juniper's IPR against the '777 patent. This is incorrect. Amazon isn't a party in this case, and Swarm hasn't asserted the '777 patent against Amazon in this Court or in Swarm's pending case against Amazon in Arizona. And, as Juniper has affirmed to Swarm and the Patent Board, Amazon isn't a real party in interest in Juniper's IPR against the '777 patent, as the initial petition in that proceeding incorrectly identified Amazon due to a clerical error. See Dkt. No. 49-3, Petitioner's Updated Mandatory Notices.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 26, 2021, I caused the foregoing document to be served via the Court's CM/ECF system on all counsel of record per Local Rule CV-5(5).

/s/ R. William Sigler  
R. William Sigler